

ATTACHMENT E

Ministerial Directions Compliance Table

Ministerial Directions – Compliance Table

Direction	Objectives	Planning Proposal	Consistent
1. Planning Systems			
1.1 Implementation of Regional Plans	N/A	N/A	--
1.2 Development of Aboriginal Land Council land	To provide for the consideration of development delivery plans prepared under chapter 3 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Planning Systems) 2021 when planning proposals are prepared by a planning proposal authority.	The changes in the Planning Proposal do not apply to land owned by the Local Aboriginal Land Council (La Perouse LALC).	Yes
1.3 Approval and Referral Requirements	To ensure that LEP provisions encourage the efficient and appropriate assessment of development.	The Planning Proposal does not alter any existing concurrence, consultation or referral requirements and does not propose designated development.	Yes
1.4 Site Specific Provisions	To discourage unnecessarily restrictive site specific planning controls.	The Planning Proposal does not include site specific planning controls. The Proposal includes changes to land use zones and development standards under the existing Randwick LEP 2012 standard clauses.	Yes
1. Planning Systems – Place-based			
1.5 Parramatta Road Corridor Urban Transformation Strategy	N/A	N/A	--
1.6 Implementation of North West Priority Growth Area Land Use and	N/A	N/A	--

Direction	Objectives	Planning Proposal	Consistent
Infrastructure Implementation Plan			
1.7 Implementation of Greater Parramatta Priority Growth Area Interim Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan	N/A	N/A	--
1.8 Implementation of Wilton Priority Growth Area Interim Land Use and Infrastructure Implementation Plan	N/A	N/A	--
1.9 Implementation of Glenfield to Macarthur Urban Renewal Corridor	N/A	N/A	--
1.10 Implementation of the Western Sydney Aerotropolis Plan	N/A	N/A	--
1.11 Implementation of Bayside West Precincts 2036 Plan	N/A	N/A	--
1.12 Implementation of Planning Principles for the Cooks Cove Precinct	N/A	N/A	--
1.13 Implementation of St Leonards and Crows Nest 2036 Plan	N/A	N/A	--
1.14 Implementation of Greater Macarthur 2040	N/A	N/A	--
1.15 Implementation of the Pyrmont Peninsula Place Strategy	N/A	N/A	--

Direction	Objectives	Planning Proposal	Consistent
1.16 North West Rail Link Corridor Strategy	N/A	N/A	--
1.17 Implementation of the Bays West Place Strategy	N/A	N/A	--
2. Design and Place - <i>This Focus Area was blank when the Directions were made</i>			
3. Biodiversity and Conservation			
3.1 Conservation Zones	To protect and conserve environmentally sensitive areas.	The Planning Proposal maintains and contributes to the protection and conservation of environmentally sensitive areas. The Proposal does affect existing environmental provisions or reduce the environmental protection standards within the Randwick LEP 2012.	Yes.
3.2 Heritage Conservation	To conserve items, areas, objects and places of environmental heritage significance and indigenous heritage significance.	Refer Planning Proposal for justification.	Yes
3.3 Sydney Drinking Water Catchments	N/A	N/A	--
3.4 Application of C2 and C3 Zones and Environmental Overlays in Far North Coast LEPs	N/A	N/A	--
3.5 Recreation Vehicle Areas	To protect sensitive land or land with significant conservation values from adverse impacts from recreation vehicles.	The Planning Proposal does include impacts from recreational vehicles.	--

4. Resilience and Hazards			
4.1 Flooding	<p>To ensure that development of flood prone land is consistent with the NSW Government's Flood Prone Land Policy and the principles of the Floodplain Development Manual 2005, and</p> <p>To ensure that the provisions of an LEP on flood prone land is commensurate with flood hazard and includes consideration of the potential flood impacts both on and off the subject land.</p>	<p>The sites are not identified as a Flood Planning Area in the Randwick LEP 2012.</p> <p>The Randwick Housing Investigation Areas – Flood Constraints Review (Attachment K) identifies that some lots within each HIA are constrained by flood affection to various degrees, however, do not prohibit development of sites under existing or proposed LEP/DCP requirements. The flood constraints review concludes that the Planning Proposal is generally consistent with the Ministerial Directions for flood planning, in that the flood related development controls enforced through that framework are not significantly altered by the Planning Proposal.</p> <p>Refer to the Planning Proposal for further discussion and justification.</p>	Yes
4.2 Coastal Management	To protect and manage coastal areas of NSW.	<p>The Proposal does not propose the rezoning of land that will increase development density in the coastal vulnerability area and adjacent to wetlands/ rainforests.</p> <p>The Proposal is consistent with the objectives of the Coastal Management Act.</p>	Yes
4.3 Planning for Bushfire Protection	To protect life, property and the environment from bush fire hazards, by discouraging the establishment of	The Planning Proposal does not impact the provisions relating to Planning for Bushfire Protection. The sites of the	Yes

	<p>incompatible land uses in bush fire prone areas, and</p> <p>To encourage sound management of bush fire prone areas.</p> <p>Encourage sound management of bush fire prone areas.</p>	<p>proposed zone and development standard changes are within the urban areas of Randwick City.</p>	
<p>4.4 Remediation of Contaminated Land</p>	<p>To reduce the risk of harm to human health and the environment by ensuring that contamination and remediation are considered by planning proposal authorities.</p>	<p>A site remediation and validation report was prepared by Geo-Logix Pty Ltd in July 2019 on behalf of Horne Group Pty Ltd (the site owners) to investigate and facilitate the remediation and validation of land at 1901 and 1903R Botany Road, Matraville. Remediation and validation works were undertaken on the site between November 2018 and April 2019. The site is considered suitable for permissible uses under RE2 Private Recreation.</p> <p>Refer to the Planning Proposal for further discussion and justification.</p>	<p>Yes</p>
<p>4.5 Acid Sulfate Soils</p>	<p>To avoid significant adverse environmental impacts from the use of land that has a probability of containing acid sulfate soils.</p>	<p>The Planning Proposal proposes to rezone existing clusters of shops from residential land to employment zones and to increase the maximum floor space ratio on a number of sites that are identified as potentially containing acid sulphate soils on the RLEP 2012 Acid Sulphate Soils Map. Future development on these sites will need to consider clause 6.1 of the Randwick LEP 2012.</p>	<p>Yes</p>

		1903R Botany Road is proposed to be zoned from RE1 to RE2 is identified as Class 4 on the Acid Sulfate Soils Map. Future development on the site will need to consider clause 6.1 of the Randwick LEP 2012.	
4.6 Mine Subsidence and Unstable Land	To prevent damage to life, property and the environment on land identified as unstable or potentially subject to mine subsidence.	Not Applicable. The Planning Proposal does not incorporate unstable land subject to mine subsidence.	--
5. Transport and Infrastructure			
5.1 Integrating Land Use and Transport	<p>The objective of this direction is to ensure that urban structures, building forms, land use locations, development designs, subdivision and street layouts achieve the following planning objectives:</p> <p>Improving access to housing, jobs and services by walking, cycling and public transport, and</p> <p>Increasing the choice of available transport and reducing dependence on cars, and</p> <p>Reducing travel demand including the number of trips generated by development and the distances travelled, especially by car, and</p> <p>Supporting the efficient and viable operation of public transport services, and</p>	<p>The Planning Proposal promotes the increased use and development of land that is well served by transport infrastructure.</p> <p>The 2022 Local Transport Study (Attachment J), covering Randwick Junction and the four HIAs, concludes that the existing and planned future transport infrastructure is well suited to support increased use and development of land.</p> <p>Refer to the Planning Proposal for further discussion and justification.</p>	Yes

	Providing for the efficient movement of freight.		
5.2 Reserving Land for Public Purposes	<p>To facilitate the provision of public services and facilities by reserving land for public purposes, and</p> <p>To facilitate the removal of reservations of land for public purposes where the land is no longer required for acquisition.</p>	<p>The Planning Proposal includes sites proposed to be:</p> <p>Rezoned from RE1 Public Recreation to RE2 Private Recreation which will remove the acquisition requirements under clause 5.1 of the Randwick LEP 2012 for the site. The site will retain a Recreational zoning and will allow for land uses suitable for private recreation.</p> <p>Rezoned to RE1 Public Recreation which will include the acquisition requirements of clause 5.1 for the Land Acquisition Reservation Map.</p> <p>Rezoned from RE1 Public Recreation to SP2 Infrastructure to reflect the infrastructure use of that part of the site being the Royal Randwick Racecourse (Light Rail Stabling Yard). The rezoning will remove the acquisition requirements under clause 5.1 of the Randwick LEP 2012 for the site.</p>	Yes
5.3 Development Near Regulated Airports and Defence Airfields	<p>To ensure the effective and safe operation of regulated airports and defence airfields;</p> <p>To ensure that their operation is not compromised by development that constitutes an obstruction, hazard or potential hazard to</p>	<p>The Planning Proposal does not include development near regulated airports and defence airfields.</p> <p>The proposed height increases on 558A-580 Anzac Parade, Kingsford (Souths Juniors Site) triggered consultation with the Airports Authority in</p>	Yes

	<p>aircraft flying in the vicinity; and</p> <p>To ensure development, if situated on noise sensitive land, incorporates appropriate mitigation measures so that the development is not adversely affected by aircraft noise.</p>	<p>relation to the protection of airspace. In August 2021 a referral was made to the Commonwealth Department of Infrastructure, Transport, Regional Development and Communications advising of the Planning Proposal.</p> <p>A response was received advising that future development at the Souths Juniors Site, Arthur Street and Kingsford South HIAs had the potential to penetrate the OLS therefore constitute a “controlled activity” under the <i>Airports Act 1996</i> and <i>Airports (Protection of Airspace) Regulations 1996</i> and require Commonwealth approval. It was also advised that some proposed development might be located within the Sydney ANEF 20 contour. Both issues are matters for assessment for future development applications.</p>	
5.4 Shooting Ranges	<p>To maintain appropriate levels of public safety and amenity when rezoning land adjacent to an existing shooting range,</p> <p>To reduce land use conflict arising between existing shooting ranges and rezoning of adjacent land,</p> <p>To identify issues that must be addressed when giving consideration to rezoning land adjacent</p>	<p>The Planning Proposal does not affect the permissibility of any adjoining land uses and will not impact the operation of the ANZAC Rifle Range located at the Malabar Headland.</p>	Yes

	to an existing shooting range.		
6. Housing			
6.1 Residential Zones	<p>To encourage a variety and choice of housing types to provide for existing and future housing needs,</p> <p>To make efficient use of existing infrastructure and services and ensure that new housing has appropriate access to infrastructure and services, and</p> <p>To minimise the impact of residential development on the environment and resource lands.</p>	Refer Planning Proposal for justification.	Yes
6.2 Caravan Parks and Manufactured Home Estates	<p>To provide for a variety of housing types, and</p> <p>to provide opportunities for caravan parks and manufactured home estates.</p>	The Planning Proposal does not alter zones, locations or provisions for the development of caravan parks and manufactured home estates.	Yes
7. Metropolitan Planning			
7.1 Business and Industrial Zones	<p>Encourage employment growth in suitable locations.</p> <p>Protect employment land in business and industrial zones.</p> <p>Support the viability of identified centres.</p>	Refer Planning Proposal for justification.	Yes
7.2 Reduction in non-hosted short-term rental accommodation period	N/A (applies to Byron Shire)	N/A	--
7.3 Commercial and Retail Development	N/A	N/A	--

along the Pacific Highway, North Coast			
8. Resources and Energy			
8.1 Mining, Petroleum Production and Extractive Industries	N/A	N/A	--
9. Primary Production			
9.1 Rural Zones	N/A	N/A	--
9.2 Rural Lands	<p>Protect the agricultural value of rural land.</p> <p>Facilitate the orderly and economic use and development of rural lands for rural and related purposes,</p> <p>Assist in the proper management, development and protection of rural lands to promote the social, economic and environmental welfare of the State,</p> <p>Minimise the potential for land fragmentation and land use conflict in rural areas, particularly between residential and other rural land uses,</p> <p>Encourage sustainable land use practices and ensure the ongoing viability of agriculture on rural land,</p> <p>Support the delivery of the actions outlined in the NSW Right to Farm Policy.</p>	The Planning Proposal does not include changes to the existing RU4 zone.	Yes.
9.3 Oyster Aquaculture	N/A	N/A	--

9.4 Farmland of State and Regional Significance on the NSW Far North Coast	N/A	N/A	--
--	-----	-----	----